

## **REMARKS**

Applicants' representative would like to thank Examiner Jiang for the courtesies extended during an interview conducted on January 29, 2007. During the interview, no exhibits, claims, or specific prior art were discussed. In addition, no amendments or arguments were presented to the Examiner. During the interview, the Examiner explained that amendments to the specification are to include those made in the Certificate of Correction issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,499,305 when responding to the outstanding Office Action by submitting a substitute specification including the changes listed in the Certificate of Correction. In addition, the Examiner explained that any amendments to claims issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,499,305 and new claims added during prosecution of the reissue application should be shown using underline and brackets, and that such marking are made relative to the claims issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,499,305.

Claims 2-5, 7-15, 22-24, 26-49, and 51-56 are now pending in the application. The following remarks are believed to be fully responsive to the outstanding Office Action and are believed to place the application in condition for allowance.

## **SPECIFICATION**

The specification stands objected to for failing to make the changes listed in the Certificate of Correction issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,499,305.

Applicants submit herewith a substitute specification, which includes the changes listed in the Certificate of Correction issued in U.S. Patent No. 6,499,305. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

### **CLAIM OBJECTIONS**

The claims stand objected to for failing to comply with MPEP § 1453.

Applicants have amended the claims to bring the claims into conformance with MPEP § 1453 by showing all new claims and amendments made to the claims using underlines and brackets, where appropriate. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection.

### **ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER**

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of Claims 2-5, 7-15, 22-24, 26-49, and 51-56.

### **CONCLUSION**


It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action and the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the Examiner

believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 7, 2007

By:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'M. Malinzak', written over a horizontal line.

Michael Malinzak  
Reg. No. 43,770  
Matthew H. Szalach  
Reg. No. 53,665

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

MM/MHS/ca